♠ AO 4	72 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
	United St	ATES DISTR	ICT COURT
	WESTERN	District of	NORTH CAROLINA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDI	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	KELLY JOSEPH RUCKER Defendant	Case	1:09 cr 34
	on of the defendant pending trial in this case.		has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
<u> </u>	The defendant is charged with an offense described or local offense that would have been a federal offen a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is 1 an offense for which a maximum term of imprise	nse if a circumstance givin 156(a)(4). ife imprisonment or death	and has been convicted of a federal offense state g rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
<u> </u>	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local. The offense described in finding (1) was committed. A period of not more than five years has elapsed single for the offense described in finding (1).	al offenses. while the defendant was concerned the date of convice presumption that no conditions.	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. stion release of the defendant from imprisonment ition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the dant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense	e
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	the appearance of the defendant as required and the	safety of the community.	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
X (1)		Alternative Findings (B)	
\mathbf{X} (2)			erson or the community.
	SEE ATTACHED ADD	ENDUM TO DETENTIO	N ORDER
	nd that the credible testimony and information submittee of the evidence that	en Statement of Reason ted at the hearing establish DENDUM TO DETENTIO	nes by X clear and convincing evidence X a prepon-
to the	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving so	entences or being held in	Detention expresentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a purt of the United States or on request of an attorney for the

Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

> Date Signature of Judge Dennis L. Howell, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

1:09 cr 34

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.	ADDENDUM TO
KELLY JOSEPH RUCKER.	DETENTION ORDER

I. FACTORS CONSIDERED

18 U.S.C. § 3142:

- **(g) Factors to be considered.--**The judicial officer shall, in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community, take into account the available information concerning--
- (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including--
 - (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
 - **(B)** whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law; and
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

II. FINDINGS

As to factor:

(g)(1): The nature and circumstances of the offense charged involve a controlled substance, in that it is alleged the defendant tested positive for use of cocaine on two occasions while on supervised release.

(g)(2): The weight of the evidence against the person appears to be strong, compelling and significant in regard to violations #1 & 2. It appears to be at the level of probable cause as to violation allegation #3.

(g)(3): The history and characteristics of the person

(A) Family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history and record concerning appearance at court appearances indicate that the defendant does not have formal employment but during a recent period of incarceration was an excellent worker at his place of incarceration. The defendant has had a long length of residence in the Rutherford County community. In regard to the defendant's criminal history, the defendant's criminal record shows the following convictions:

<u>Offense</u>	Conviction Date
Use of vehicle without owners consent, SC	Unknown
3 counts of forgery, SC	07/25/86
Possession with intent to distribute marijuana, SC	12/15/87
Accessory before the fact to felony burglary, SC	01/11/96
Driving while license suspended, SC	05/01/97
Driving while license suspended, SC	11/08/96
Driving while impaired	06/11/98
Driving while license revoked	01/06/00
Driving while license revoked	04/13/00
Driving while license revoked	11/23/01
Failure to return rental property	04/06/01
Driving while license revoked	11/13/01
Driving while license revoked	03/07/02
Driving while license revoked	03/07/02
Driving while license revoked	03/07/02
Driving while license revoked	08/09/02
Driving while license revoked	02/09/03
Driving while license revoked	01/16/04
No operators license	12/11/03
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	09/29/05

Driving while license revoked	05/03/07
Driving while license suspended, SC	08/16/06
Unauthorized use of motor vehicle	02/23/07
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	02/23/07
Simple worthless check	02/23/07
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	04/27/07
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	04/27/07
Driving while license revoked	01/11/08
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	01/11/08
Felony possession of a stolen motor vehicle	12/04/07
Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle	02/23/07

The defendant was also convicted of conspiracy against the United States in the United States District Court for South Carolina on July 2, 2008 and is serving a period of supervised release as a result of that offense.

The defendant's record concerning appearance at court appearances shows that the defendant has failed to appear in regard to various charges pending against him.

(B) At the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, parole or other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal or completion of sentence. It appears that this factor does exist.

(g)(4): The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release indicate by clear and convincing evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community. The defendant's criminal record shows repeated criminal offenses. As a result, the undersigned is of the opinion that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community.

The undersigned does find by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on his part. The defendant has repeatedly failed to appear in regard to various charges. The undersigned recognizes that the defendant did voluntarily surrender himself in regard to the allegations contained in the petition. However, weighing all the evidence leads the undersigned to believe by a preponderance of the evidence that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on his part.

WHEREFORE, it is ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: January 21, 2010

ennis & Hawel

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge